

April 2, 1984

LB 1128

would think in these last few days those ought to be our major overriding concerns and these other bills that may be very commendable should probably take second priority to those bills. For that reason I urge you to defeat the motion to reconsider.

SPEAKER NICHOL: Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Mr. Chairman and members of the Legislature, whatever may be done with any of these bills, if a reconsideration motion is successful, I hope that bill will not be placed in front of everything else and debated until time for all other business is over. The bills having been passed can be dealt with the last day, the last minute or whatever and people will have their minds generally made up on what they intend to do. So if any of these motions is successful, and there may be more, I hope that if something comes back it will not be placed in front of everything else to be debated forever without perhaps even making any change. That is the only comment I have to make and whether you reconsider or not is not of that much moment to me otherwise.

SPEAKER NICHOL: Senator Howard Peterson.

SENATOR H. PETERSON: Mr. Chairman and members of the Legislature, I would rise to support the Lamb amendment. Like he, I was not here when the motion was up before and I'm sorry I was not able to be here. But we have spent at least six hours of time already on considering this bill when we could have done it in an hour and a half and it just seems to me that it is time for us to get this part of the issue out of the road. If we can't fund present programs before we fund new programs we should have had a careful look at that when we were debating this issue and it seems to me that is what we did, that was our decision, I would urge you to vote for the reconsideration on the motion.

SPEAKER NICHOL: Senator Martin Kahle.

SENATOR KAHLE: Mr. President and colleagues, you know the Appropriations Committee came up with a package deal the